

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	. FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/634,588	10/634,588 08/05/2003		Gregory J. Arserio	Arserio 8/03	6539
29988	7590	08/06/2004		EXAMINER	
THOMAS		-	THOMAS, DAVID B		
HARTER, SECREST & EMERY LLP 1600 BAUSCH & LOMB PLACE				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
ROCHESTER, NY 14604-2711				3723	

DATE MAILED: 08/06/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			\sim
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/634,588	ARSERIO ET AL.	("V)
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	David B. Thomas	3723	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence addre	ess
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	mely filed ys will be considered timely. n the mailing date of this comm ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nunication.
Status			
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>05 At</u> This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro		erits is
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 1-21 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) Claim(s) 1-14 is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 15-19 and 21 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 20 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on 05 August 2003 is/are: Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the original than 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 11.	a) accepted or b) ⊠ objected drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se on is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ejected to. See 37 CFR	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Applicat ity documents have been receive (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Sta	age
Attachment(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:		2)

Application/Control Number: 10/634,588 Page 2

Art Unit: 3723

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

1. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the first part-holding fixture, as claimed in claim 15, must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

Corrected drawing sheets are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.84(c)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Objections

2. Claim 20 is objected to because of the following informalities: Claim 20 recites, "applying a vacuum pressure reduction against the bottom planar surface for holding the optical flat." However, the examiner respectfully contends that if a vacuum pressure

Application/Control Number: 10/634,588 Page 3

Art Unit: 3723

reduction is applied, that the optical flat would be released rather than held by the fixture. It appears that the appropriate language should be, "applying a pressure reduction," or, "applying a vacuum." Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 15-19, and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Koshi et al. (6,634,933), in view of Ushiyama et al. (5,119,595).

Koshi et al. ('933) disclose a method, jig, and apparatus for machining rod lenses. In the method, lens assembly sheets, each holding at least a row of rod lenses, are prepared (The lens assembly sheet block 15 is then cut into lens assembly sheets 18, each having a desired thickness L, as shown in FIG. 1B. The cut surfaces of each lens assembly sheet 18 are then polished to form parallel polished surfaces 16, 17.; Col. 3, lines 46-51). The lens assembly sheets are then arranged on holding surfaces defined on a jig. The holding surfaces are inclined relative to a reference surface of the jig by a predetermined angle. Each of the lens assembly sheets is clamped so that optical axes of the rod lenses are inclined relative to a direction perpendicular to the reference surface by that predetermined angle. The lens assembly sheets are then ground and polished. The lens holding jigs are mounted on a table having a rotatable spindle and a rotating grinding wheel is brought into engagement with the lens assemblies, and the spindles of each are parallel to each other.

Application/Control Number: 10/634,588 Page 4

Art Unit: 3723

Thus, Koshi et al. ('933) disclose the method except that the preparatory steps utilize polishing rather than grinding. However, grinding and polishing are equivalent steps known in the art, as evidenced by Ushiyama et al. ('595) in Col. 1, lines 9-11, and typically performed with a tool such as a grinder. Therefore, because these two steps were art-recognized equivalents at the time the invention was made, one of ordinary skill in the art would have found it obvious to substitute the step of polishing in Koshi et al. ('933) with the step of grinding via a grinding wheel per Ushiyama et al. ('595).

Allowable Subject Matter

- Claims 1-14 are allowed.
- 6. Claim 20 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the objection set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 7. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: The present invention pertains to a part-holding fixture. It is the examiner's opinion that the part-holding fixture comprising: a fixture body having an axis of rotation; an aperture formed through the first and second end of the fixture body; a mounting land; a collar mounted on the fixture body surrounding the mounting land; and the mounting land being oriented in a plane whose normal is inclined to the rotational axis of the fixture body has neither been anticipated nor fairly suggested, in part or whole, by the prior art of record.

Conclusion

8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Boutell, Johnson, Klingel et al., Kojo et al., Mandler, Mathews et al.,

Art Unit: 3723

Richardson, Takahashi et al., Tanaka et al., Turner et al., and Youden et al. each disclose a device related to grinding, work holding, or lens processing.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to David B. Thomas whose telephone number is (703) 308-4250. The examiner can normally be reached on 7-4 M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David B Thomas can be reached on (703) 308-4250. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

David B. Thomas
Patent Examiner
Art Unit 3723

働て dbt